

International Journal of Esthetics and Restorative Dentistry

Survey

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice regarding use of resin cements for indirect restoration amongst general dentist and specialist – A Survey

S Christy¹, MS Nivedhitha²

¹Consultant Endodontist, Karaikudi, ²Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha University, Chennai, India.

How to cite: Christy S, Nivedhitha MS. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice regarding use of resin cements for indirect restoration amongst general dentist and specialist – A Survey. Int J Esth Res Dent. Volume 2022, Article ID 22162567, 12 pages.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56501/intjesthresdent.2022.531

Received: 05-05-2022

Accepted: 31-05-2022

Published: 06-06-2022

Abstract

Resin cements are also known as bonding cement. They exhibit adherence to the inner or intaglio face of the restoration and the interface of the tooth. Initially, the mode of earlier resin cement was generally a type of micro-mechanical form of adhesion mechanism. Currently, cements lute to tooth facet surface and to restorative interface by a chemical bond. It was observed that the resin cement exhibited more retention as compared to the conventional luting cement, primarily due to inherent high bond strengths, but the limitation were multiple steps, difficulty in cleaning up the clingy cement and being highly technique-sensitive luting agents. The self-adhesive resin cement does not need another bonding procedure. An understanding, knowledge and awareness of clinical performance of a luting agent system is imperative prior to selecting the material for the requisite clinical scenario. Since different types of materials like cast metal, base metal, gold alloys, porcelain-fused-to-metal, ceramic infiltrated materials, resin or composited based, and all-ceramic indirect restorative alternatives are available, hence, an ideal luting agent will have to match the inherent physical, mechanical, chemical, biological, and handling prerequisites like adequate and optimal working time, improved compressive strength, optimal flowability, negligible microleakage, devoid of solubility in saliva, adhesiveness, compatibility to the tooth and tissue, aesthetics, ease of manipulation and removal of excess.

Keywords: Knowledge,attitude and practice; resin cements; total etch resin cements; self-etch resin cement; self-adhesive resin cement.

Address for Correspondence: Dr Nivedhitha M.S Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha University 162, Poonamallee High Road, Chennai 600077, India. Email: nivea16@hotmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Cementation of an indirect restoration is a vital and pivotal procedural clinical step to warrant the retention and longevity of the restoration, and impervious marginal seal with the tooth. The commercially available luting cement is universally categorized into five classes: zinc phosphate cement, glass-ionomer based cement, resin-modified glass-ionomer cement, polycarboxylate cement and resin composite cement [1]. The operator's selection based on clinical application and indication is not well documented. No single cement can be found completely suitable or ideal for the wide range of indirect restorations. Therefore, their optimal application requires a thorough understanding and knowledge of all restorative materials, luting cement, their handling characteristics, the ideal preparation design and moisture control for optimal setting and properties [1]. Meticulous deliberation should be practiced when adopting and embracing an adhesion protocol for a particular clinical scenario and indication. Adhesive cement must intrinsically bond to an array of contrasting substratum, including dental hard tissues like dentin and enamel, different metal alloys, all type of ceramics, indirect composites and gold [2,3]. Resin cements are said to have increased adhesion durability, both to hard tissue substratum and indirect restoration, elevated tensile and compressive toughness and strength, and the nethermost solubility amongst the obtainable cements [4]. The objective of this survey is to evaluate the knowledge, perception, awareness and implementation and practice regarding the use of resin cements for indirect restoration amongst general dentist and specialist.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A questionnaire was designed on application of resin cements for indirect restoration amongst general dentist and specialist and a cross sectional survey was conducted amongst the general dentist and specialist (Figure 1). The sample size was 220 participants. 15 close ended questions were asked. The questionnaire consists of questions related to knowledge and awareness among the general dentist and specialist regarding use of resin cements for indirect restoration (Table 1). Data collection was done and the results were statistically analysed (Table 2).



Figure 1: Specialization of the demographic surveyed

Table 1: Knowledge and awareness among general dentists and specialists regarding use of resin cements for indirect restoration

S.No	Question	Options
1	Do you know that resin cements can be used as luting cements for indirect restorations?	Yes No Maybe No idea
2	Resin cements have high bond strength when compared to other luting cements.	Yes No Maybe No idea
3	Do you know in which type of resin cement self-prime adhesive is present?	Total-etch Resin cements Self -etch Resin cement Self-adhesive Resin cement No idea
4	Self-etch resin cements have good bond strength to	Enamel Dentin No idea
5	Pre-treatment of tooth is required for self-adhesive resin cement.	Yes No May be No idea
6	Will pre-treatment procedures increase the bond strength of resin cements?	Yes No May be No idea

		Agree
7	Proper isolation is mandatory for luting of indirect restoration of resin cements	Strongly Agree
		Disagree
		Strongly disagree
8	Do you use tack curing technique in resin cements?	Yes
		No
		No idea
9	In your practice have you ever used self-adhesive resin cements?	Yes
		No
	Does the type of restoration interfere with the bonding of resin cements?	Yes
10		No
10		May be
		No idea
	In high esthetic demand regions, the choice of resin cements would be?	Total-etch resin cements
11		Self-etch resin cement
11		Self-adhesive resin cement
		No idea
12	Which technique is best in resin cements?	Total-etch resin cements
		Self -etch resin cement
		Selective-etch resin cement
		No idea
13	Which surface of the tooth is etched in selective-etch technique?	Enamel only
		Dentin only
		Both
		No idea

14	If the taper of your preparation is less than 14 degree, then what will be your choice of resin cement?	Self-adhesive Self-etch resin cements Total etch resin cements No idea
15	Do you know that there is special cement available for the luting of veneers?	Yes No Maybe

Table 2: Results of the Survey









DISCUSSION

Resin cement is also known as bonding cements. They adhere primarily to both the intaglio facet of the restoration and the prepared tooth substratum. The initially developed resin cement mechanism of adhesion relied primarily on micromechanical, but currently, it has been observed that these newer cement exhibit chemical adhesion both with the restorative interface and the tooth surface interface. The resin cement, due to its high bond strength is apparently more retentive than traditional and customarily applied luting systems. However, they engage manifold procedural steps, are highly technique sensitive cement and are difficult to clean up after manipulation [4-6].

Resin cement contain the similar fundamental constituent as the resin based composite restorative or reparative material but the number of fillers increments is lesser than that of restorative materials. These cement exhibit enhanced compressive toughness, ductile and tensile durability with compounded strength in flexure when they are compared to conventional cement. However, these cements are highly technique sensitive. Thus, a properly cured resin cement will have high and optimal compressive and flexural strengths, and will be to a certain extent insoluble in oral fluids [4,7-9].

In contemporary objective clinical restorative practice, mainly three types of resin cements are commercially available and are classified based on their adhesive patterns and character. They are etch-and-rinse resin cement called total-etch luting adhesives, the self-etch resin cements, and the self-adhesive resin luting agents [10,11].

Total etch cements are the etch-and-rinse adhesives in which 36–37 % phosphoric acid is used to etch both the surface enamel and deeper dentin surface succeeded by an administration of single layer of bonding agent. This is followed by cementation with resin luting system [6-9,12-14]. Total etch cement can be self-cured, light cured, or be dual-cured. The etching of the enamel and dentin with phosphoric acid yields the highest bond strengths in enamel and even in dentin high bond strength can be achieved when stringently applied [15-24].

Self-etch cement has a simplified technique as the acidic based monomers etchant and esters of phosphates, are conjoint with the primer, hence named self-etch primers. These cements are usually dual cured and preferred by most clinicians [9]. Bonding to the enamel is less effective than that of total-etch based systems. During the entire adhesive procedure, the smear layer is altered, modified but not eliminated hence, precluding both the influx and out flux of exudates from the dentinal tubules. The adhesion protocol is that the bonding solution layer should be dehumidified for about 5–10s to remove the residual acrid hydrogen ions and ethanol, else the hydrogen and ethanol will persist in the set cohesive coat if not dehumidified [1,2].

The self-adhesive resin cement is termed one or single component of "universal adhesive cements," primarily because they do not need or require a dedicated bonding protocol dental hard tissue substratum as the phosphoric acid is replaced with phosphoric esters [1,2]. Self-adhesive cements are primarily dual-cured. Self-adhesive resin cement thus can lead to adequate bonding both to the dentin and enamel. But they exhibit decreased bond strengths when compared total-etch techniques. These luting systems are not so exacting in acuity because the inherent risk of contamination is much lesser [1,2].

Self-adhesive resin luting agent is alternative to technique-sensitive total-etch and self-etch resin cement. 33.5% in the survey were aware of this finding. For extremely tough porcelain ceramics such as zirconium oxide derivatives and alumina, self-adhesive resin luting agent are the luting adhesive of choice. They are also indicated when retention is compromised with little tooth structures and walls remaining when crown height is less or the preparation is too tapered in onlay preparations, table tops and also when isolation is not achievable and time is a constraint [3,23,25,26]. Approximately 27 percent in the survey were aware that compromised taper and height could be obviated by the resin cement. 37% were aware that isolation is an important step to improve upon the properties of luting cement [23].

The physical and mechanical properties of the resin cements affect their clinical performance [8,24,26-28].

They are as follows:

- a. Compressive strength
- b. Flexural strength
- c. Film density thickness
- d. Solubility and water sorption

Resin adhesive luting systems adhere tooth hard substratum and the intaglio facet of the restoration, and due to the elevated compressive strength, the luting agent also compounds the resistance to fracture of the indirect materials, especially in the case of brittle materials like ceramics [24, 27-29].

Flexural strength is the characteristic of a dental medium to withstand and resist bending, deforming forces without disintegrating. The luting agent should have ample strength of flexure in order to transfer and dissipate the stresses from the restorative material to the hard tissues to prevent any catastrophic fractures or fractures at the tooth cement interface [24,27]. The stress assemblage at the luting agent interface with the hard substratum will be less if the elastic modulus of the luting agent is similar to that substrate of dentin with a resultant durable and reinforced bond. Adhesive luting agents are relatively 130 times resilient in flexure and 20 times more durable and tougher and when compared to traditional luting agents, which makes adhesive the ideal luting agent for the cementation of all-ceramic restorations [27].

Decreased thickness of the luting cement film will not only improve the seating of the restoration but also will decrease marginal discrepancies and lead to a reduction of biofilm accretion, periodontal disease, dissolving of luting agent, and eventually prevent and inhibit secondary carious lesion initiation [24,27]. Though the resin cement has a higher film thickness than conventional cement, they are barely dissolvable in saliva, which will be an added advantage in the seating of the restoration in a moist environment like that of the mouths.

CONCLUSION

Luting cement are the dental mediums and materials which facilitate the adhesion of indirect restorative material affixing to hard tissue substratum. With the advancement in the evolution of plethora of esthetic material and hence arduous task to develop and selecting, adopting and determine the correct luting agent that will concur with the characteristic for the tooth replacement indirect material and withstand the challenges of the intraoral environment. The most kaleidoscopic luting systems are the resin based and self-adhesive resin cements as they have variegated clinical applications and indications. These two adhesive luting systems provide bonding to dental hard tissue substratum, and while self-adhesive systems have the clear-cut advantage of clinical simplification, they cannotbe contemplated as a substitute for traditional luting agent in al lclinical situations. Hence it is imperative that each clinician is well informed about the composition, characteristics, indications, advantages, limitations, and challenges of each system for a predictable esthetic outcome.

Conflict of Interests: Nil

Source of funding: Nil

REFERENCES

- Weiser F, BehrM. Self-Adhesive Resin Cements: A Clinical Review. J Prosthodont. 2014;24(2):100-8.
- 2. Radovic I, Monticelli F, Goracci C, Vulicevic ZR and Ferrari M. Self-adhesive Resin Cements: A Literature Review. J Adhes Dent. 2008;10(4):251-8.
- 3. Frankenberger R, Lohbauer U, Roggendorf MJ, NaumannM and Taschner M. Selective enamel etching reconsidered: better than etch-and-rinse and self-etch?JAdhesDent. 2008;10(5):339-44.
- 4. Sarkis-Onofre R, Skupien JA, Cenci MS, Moraes RR and Pereira-Cenci T. The Role of Resin Cement on Bond Strength of Glass-fiber Posts LutedInto Root Canals: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of In Vitro Studies. Oper Dent. 2014;39(1):E31-44.
- 5. Attar N, Tam LE and McComb D. Mechanical and physical properties of contemporary dental luting agents. J Prosthet Dent.2003;89(2):127-34.
- 6. De Souza G, Braga RR, Cesar PF and Lopes GC. Correlation between clinical performance and degree of conversion of resin cements: a literature review. J Appl Oral Sci. 2015;23(4):358-68.
- Horn HR. Porcelain laminate veneers bonded to etch enamel. Dent Clin North Am.1983;27(4):671– 84.
- 8. McCabe JF, Walls AW. Applied dental materials, 9th edn. Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 2008. pp 274-85.
- 9. Simon JF, Darnell LA. Consideration for proper selection of dental cements. CompendContinEduc Dent. 2012;33(1):28-30, 32, 34-5; quiz 36, 38.
- 10. Petropoulou A, Pantzari F, Nomikos N, Chronopoulos V and Kourtis S. The Use of Indirect Resin Composites in Clinical Practice: A Case Series. Dentistry. ISSN: 2161-1122.
- Novais VR, RaposoLHA, ResendeR, Lopes C, Simamoto Junior PC and Soares CJ. Degree of conversion and bond strength of resin-cements to feldspathic ceramic using different curing modes. J Appl Oral Sci. 2017;25(1):61-8.
- 12. Rodrigues RB,de Lima E, Roscoe MG, Soares CJ, Cesar PF and Novais VR.Influenceof Resin Cements on Color Stability of Different Ceramic Systems.Braz Dent J. 2017; 28(2): 191-95.
- 13. Diaz-Arnold AM, Vargas MA, Haselton DR. Current status of luting agents for fixed prosthodontics. J Prosthet Dent. 1999;81(2):135-41.
- 14. Hill EE. Dental Cements for Definitive Luting: A Review and Practical Clinical Considerations. Dent Clin N Am. 2007;51(3):643-58.
- 15. Dickens SH, Flaim GM and Takagi S. Mechanical properties and biochemical activity of remineralizing resin-based Ca–PO4 cements. Dent Mater. 2003;19(6):558-66.
- 16. Craig RG, Powers JM. Restorative dental materials, 11th ed. St Louis: Mosby; 2002.p.594-634.
- 17. Sunico-Segarra M, Segarra A. A Practical Clinical Guide to Resin Cements. 2015.
- 18. Bitter K, Meyer-Lueckel H, Priehn K, Kanjuparambil JP, Neumann K, Kiel-bassa AM. Effects of luting agent and thermocycling on bond strengths to root canal dentine. IntEndod J. 2006;39:809-818
- 19. Ernst CP, Cohnen U, Stender E, Willershausen B. In vitro retentive strength of zirconium oxide ceramic crowns using different luting agents. J Prosthet Dent. 2005;93:551-558.

- 20. Federlin M, Krifka S, Herpich M, et al. Partial ceramic crowns: influence of ceramic thickness, preparation design and luting material on fracture resistance and marginal integrity in vitro. Oper Dent.2007;32:251-260.
- 21. Frankenberger R, Lohbauer U, Schaible RB, et al. Luting of ceramic inlays in vitro: Marginal quality of self-etch and etch-and-rinse adhesives versus self-etch cements. Dent Mater. 2007;May [Epub ahead of print].
- 22. Palacios RP, Johnson GH, Phillips KM, et al. Retention of zirconium oxide ceramic crowns with three types of cement. J Prosthet Dent. 2006;96:104-14.
- 23. Escribano N, de la Macorra JC. Microtensile bond strength of self-adhesive luting cements to ceramic. J Adhes Dent. 2006;8:337-341.
- 24. Fabianelli A, Goracci C, Bertelli E, Monticelli F, Grandini S, Ferrari M. Invitro evaluation of wallto-wall adaptation of a self-adhesive resin cement used for luting gold and ceramic inlays. J Adhes Dent. 2005;7:33-40
- 25. Yang B, Ludwig K, Adelung R, Kern M. Micro-tensile bond strength of three luting resins to human regional dentin. Dent Mater. 2006;22:45-56.
- 26. Yoshida Y, Nagakane K, Fukuda R, Nakayama Y, Okazaki M, Shintani H,Inoue S, Tagawa Y, Suzuki K, De Munck J, Van Meerbeek B. Comparative study on adhesive performance of functional monomers. J Dent Res. 2004;83:454-458.
- 27. Yoshida Y, Van Meerbeek B, Nakayama Y, Snauwaert J, Hellemans L, Lam-brechts P, Vanherle G, Wakasa K. Evidence of chemical bonding at biomaterial-hard tissue interfaces. J Dent Res. 2000;79:709-714.
- 28. Dehno SMS, Giti R, Kalantari MH, Mohammadi F (2022) The effects of provisional resin cements on the color and retentive strength of all-ceramic restorations cemented on customized zirconia abutments. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0262582.
- 29. Federlin M, Krifka S, Herpich M, et al. Partial ceramic crowns: influence of ceramic thickness, preparation design and luting material on fracture resistance and marginal integrity in vitro. Oper Dent. 2007;32:251-260.

