



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Journal Section

Chlorhexidine and the Degradation of the Hybrid Layer - Narrative Review

Ilma Robo, BDS^{1*} | Saimir Heta, MDS^{2*} | Xhoana Balla, MDS^{1*} |

Nevila Alliu, MDS^{2*} | Vera Ostreni, MDS^{2*}

¹University of Medicine, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Tiranë, Albania

²University of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Tiranë, Albania

Correspondence

Ilma Robo, University of Medicine, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Tiranë, Albania
Email: ilmarobo@yahoo.com

Funding information

Nil

Abstract

Introduction: The clinical lifespan of the restoration is based on the resistance that the hybrid layer structure performs to degradation that is influenced by several local factors, with short-term or long-term action effects on the tooth.

Methods: The purpose of this study includes the presentation of published data on the advantages and disadvantages of the use of one of the most advertised dental solutions, chlorhexidine, in the resistance of hybrid layers to structural degradation. The way in which the adhesive resin is connected to the acidified dentin structure depends on the physical or chemical factors of this connection between two structures with very different characteristics. The study is of review type, using key words and their combination on the PubMed electronic search pages. **Results:** Chlorhexidine is known for its inhibitory properties against activated dentinal metalloproteinases, a consequence of the acidification process, the stage of the protocol for the realization of dental restorations realized with composite. Thus, this surface area is directly proportional to its size versus the duration of clinical use of dental composite restoration. Microretention is realized between acidified dentin or enamel and extensions of adhesive resin against preprepared spaces, dentinal tubules. **Conclusion:** During the acidification process, precursor to the placement of the adhesive resin layer, metalloproteinases of dental origin are also activated, enzymes that are responsible for degradation of the hybrid layer. These enzymes have their expressed activity depending on the presence of Zn²⁺ or Ca²⁺ ions. There are several ways of inhibiting these enzymes and there are several ways of inhibiting the degradation process of the hybrid layer.

KEYWORDS

Hybrid layer; Chlorhexidine; Degradation; Metalloproteinases; Adhesive resin layer

1 | INTRODUCTION

The support of composite as a dental restorative material, to the prepared dentine structure as surround-

ing walls of the carious cavity, is based on the ability to connect by means of microretention. Microscopically, microretention is encountered at the level of the

* All authors have contributed equally.

hybrid layer, which in its component structure includes not only adhesive resin but also acidified dentin structures with its clinical advantages and disadvantages.¹⁻³ The hybrid layer is now a structure whose construction has been fixed in many microscopic photographs of the cross-sections of the area where the composite filling has been applied. In its composition, the hybrid layer contains the decalcified structure of the tooth and the photopolymerized structure of the adhesive resin.^{4,5} From this definition comes the conclusion that the hybrid layer is obtained from the union of two elements with different states, that is, the structure of the tooth, which is hard and solidified, and the structure of the resin, which changes from a fluid state to a hardened structure. Seen from this point of view, it is understood that the hardened structure of the part of the tooth has its microscopic morphology, presented with dimples and grooves or tubules which do not have the same structure.^{2,5-10} This is because the tooth where the composite restoration will be placed will be prepared with burs for accurate contouring according to the shape-sketch and Black's principles, but even then it will be acidified where the dentinal tubules will be emptied of their contents, will be emptied from dentinal fluid to give way to penetration of adhesive solution.^{4,11-13} The adhesive resin, on the other hand, contains not only fluids but also resistance in the process of penetration on the acidified surfaces of the preparation. It is this fluid substance which, when photopolymerized, can even exert attractive forces against the abrasive structures where it has been attached.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ It is the bond then when it is photopolymerized, can even exert attractive forces against the prepared structures of the tooth where it has been attached. The application of acid to the structure of the dentin affects the drying of the dentinal tubules where Thomson's fiber floats, an extension of the odontoblast to which the dentinal tubule belongs.^{4,8,12,19-25} But when acidification is applied, the drying process occurs at dentinal fluid; leaving these tubes as empty spaces in the shape of beehives, ready for the penetration of the bond. After the introduction of the adhesive resin and its hardening, this layer with acidified tubular dentin and hardened resin as a result of photopolymerization is called a hybrid layer.²⁶⁻³² On the

other hand, the hybrid layer is also used as the notion of sealing the dentinal tubules, since if this connection is done correctly, the dentinal tubules are blocked at their exits, preventing any possible flow of residual fluid or introduction of liquid substances between the resin and acidified dentin, with the aim of creating a bond with a resistance effect between the composite and the restored tooth.^{2,33-40}

The study is oriented around the collection of already published data on the effect of chlorhexidine on inhibiting the degradation of the hybrid layer. The application of this solution either simply in the composition, or as part of the primer, draws attention to the fact that chlorhexidine solution is used in different concentrations and with different treatment purposes. This is the element that draws attention to the ups and downs in the appearance of the advantages and disadvantages of applying this solution.^{4,8,12,16,27,41-44}

2 | METHOD

We performed an electronic search in PubMed using the keywords "chlorhexidine", "hybrid layers", and "degradation", combining them with boolean operator. The study covers 20 years, with publications published in PubMed describing the use of chlorhexidine and its effect on the suppression of endogenous metalloproteinase enzymes in dentin.⁴⁰⁻⁵⁷ We chose the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the analysis after reviewing the abstracts and publications gathered thus far. The study only looked at studies that directly investigated how CHX affects the inhibition of endogenous MMPs in mineral-depleted dentin. Exclusion criteria included studies that employed CHX to diagnose cavities prior to the completion of the preparation procedure. We excluded the term from the research based on the following criteria. At this stage, 25 articles were selected as part of the basic articles included in the study.

- Studies on the use of CHX to disinfect carious lesion sites prior to preparation.
- Studies focused on the involvement of MMPs in caries development.
- Studies investigating other approaches or solutions for limiting hybrid layer deterioration that do not rely

on MMP inhibition.

A total of 32 articles were selected, of which 7 articles were not taken for further evaluation as they did not meet 1 or some of the following criteria:

- articles analyzing the application of a new antibacterial monomer, such as dimethylaminododecyl methacrylate (1 article),
- articles that analyzed the application of adhesive resin layers with an inhibitory effect on the degradation of the dentinal matrix by promoting resin-dentin interlayer stability (1 article),
- articles analyzing the application of another type of MMP inhibitor – galardin, EDTA, polyvinylphosphoric acid, quaternary ammonium methacrylate (4 articles),
- articles analyzing the application of chlorhexidine in the disinfection of carious lesion areas before preparation (1 article).

3 | RESULTS

The results of processing the collected data are presented according to the tables below. The trend of articles on the application of chlorhexidine to acidified layers of dentin is presented in Table 1, accompanied by data according to the intervals of publication years.

TABLE 1 Selected articles according to the years of publication, to show the trend of scientific research in this field*

Year of Publication* Article Type	2001–2007	2008–2014	2015–2022	Total
In vitro**	1 (4%)	7 (28%)	7 (28%)	15 (60%)
In vivo***	3 (12%)	-	1 (4%)	4 (16%)
Review	-	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	6 (24%)
Total	4 (16%)	11 (44%)	10 (40%)	25 (100%)

*The electronic search was carried out for the years 2001–2022, divided in 7-year time intervals, except for the last interval.

**In vitro experiments were performed on human extracted teeth, usually extracted third molars or temporary molars were used.

***In vivo studies have evaluated the longevity effect of composite restorations on teeth in oral cavities with Black Class I and II preparations.

TABLE 2 Selected articles according to the years of publication, to show the trend of scientific research in this field

Year of Publication	2001–2007	2008–2014	2015–2022
In vitro	1 (4%)	7 (28%)	7 (28%)
In vivo	3 (12%)	-	1 (4%)
Review	-	4 (16%)	2 (8%)
Total	4 (16%)	11 (44%)	10 (40%)

TABLE 3 Summary of the effects of chlorhexidine on the hybrid layer, which also affects how the success of the application of this solution is evaluated.

Type of article* Method of assessment	In vitro	In vivo	Review	Total
Bonding strength	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	3 (12%)	9 (36%)
Degradation of HL	5 (20%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	7 (28%)
Inhibition of MMP	5 (20%)	-	1 (4%)	6 (24%)
Collagen network	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
Total	15 (60%)	4 (16%)	6 (24%)	25 (100%)

TABLE 4 Occurrence of positive or negative effects of chlorhexidine in the hybrid layer.

Effect type Method of assess- ment	Negative effects	Positive ef- fects	Total
Bonding strength	2 (8%)	7 (28%)	9 (36%)
Degradation of HL	7 (28%)	-	7 (28%)
Inhibition of MMP	1 (4%)	5 (20%)	6 (24%)
Collagen network	-	3 (12%)	3 (12%)
Total	10 (40%)	15 (60%)	25 (100%)

TABLE 5 The method of evaluating the effect of chlorhexidine on the hybrid layer depending on the years of publication.

Year of Publi- cation* Method of assess- ment	2001- 2007	2008- 2014	2015- 2022	Total
Bonding strength	1 (4%)	3 (12%)	5 (20%)	9 (36%)
Degradation of HL	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	1 (4%)	7 (28%)
Inhibition of MMP	-	3 (12%)	3 (12%)	6 (24%)
Collagen net- work	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
Total	4 (16%)	11 (44%)	10 (40%)	25 (100%)

TABLE 6 Time-based evaluation of CHX on the hybrid layer.

Evaluati ele- ment Evalu- ation time	Bonding strength	Degrada- tion of HL	Inhibition of MMP	Collagen net- work	Total
1 month	1 (4%)	-	-	-	1 (4%)
4 months	1 (4%)	-	1 (4%)	-	2 (8%)
6 months	1 (4%)	3 (12%)	-	-	4 (16%)
9 months	-	1 (4%)	-	-	1 (4%)
12 months- 1 year	1 (4%)	1 (4%)	-	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
14 months	-	1 (4%)	-	-	1 (4%)
18 months	-	1 (4%)	-	-	1 (4%)
24 months- 2 years	1 (4%)	-	-	-	1 (4%)
36 months	1 (4%)	-	-	-	1 (4%)
10 years	-	-	1 (4%)	-	1 (4%)
Total	6 (24%)	7 (28%)	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	16 (64%)

TABLE 7 This table shows in quantitative terms how the effect of CHX is evaluated, depending on its concentration, on the action it performs in the hybrid layer.

% of CHX Method of assess- ment	0.2%	2%	Total
Bonding strength	2 (8%)	3 (12%)	5 (20%)
Degradation of HL	-	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
Inhibition of MMP	2 (8%)	1 (4%)	3 (12%)
Collagen network	-	-	-
Total	4 (16%)	4 (16%)	8 (32%)

TABLE 8 This table summarizes the data on the selected articles regarding the way CHX acts depending on whether the action is related to the type of bonding systems or the penetrating ability of the resin.

Evaluation element Method of assess- ment	Types of bonding systems	Resin infil- tration	Total
Bonding strength	3 (12%)	-	3 (12%)
Degradation of HL	-	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
Inhibition of MMP	-	-	-
Collagen network	-	3 (12%)	3 (12%)
Total	3 (12%)	4 (16%)	7 (26%)

4 | DISCUSSION

Various studies show that the bond between the composite layer and dentin degrades over time due to the action of MMPs on collagen fibrils left unprotected after acidification. The longevity of a

composite filling is highly dependent on the measures taken to minimize this effect.²⁶ *In vitro* studies have shown that if CHX is applied with the goal of inhibiting activated MMPs, the CHX remains in the hybrid layer for up to 10 years after clinical application. In fact, this is based on only one study, hinting that perhaps more research is required in this field.²⁷ When CHX is analyzed by comparing it with cross-linking agents, one study states that the latter have a higher ease of application than CHX.²⁸ This fact can also be mentioned as a negative element of the application of CHX. A positive element of the application of CHX is the strength of the bond, which according to a study²⁹, has no difference at the time of application. However, this bond increases its strength over time and with the age of the composite restoration, compared to teeth and restorations where CHX has not been applied. The way of applying CHX depends on the concentration; according to a study³⁰, it requires 60 seconds of application for CHX with a concentration of 0.2%. According to another study³¹, it is emphasized that increasing the concentration of CHX does not necessarily increase the strength of the bond.

Despite the different types of bonding systems, one study³² emphasizes that the best-controlled way to apply CHX is after acidification with phosphoric acid, followed by rinsing with water and applying 2% CHX for 30 seconds. This data is also supported by another literature source⁴⁹, which states that the effect of CHX on bonding systems with self-acidification has no value in inhibiting MMPs. Another study⁵⁴ suggests that the CHX effect is achieved after 10 minutes of application—a duration impossible to achieve clinically. Regardless of how CHX is applied, one study³⁶ shows that in teeth treated with CHX, the structure of the hybrid layer is more regular in the combination of resin with dentinal tubules. These data contradict the findings of another study³⁷, which states that the application of CHX does not increase the bond strength but rather keeps it stable, compared to teeth without CHX application. Contrary to recent studies, one report⁴¹ states that proanthocyanidins or grape extract have better effects in inhibiting MMPs than CHX. This finding is supported by another source⁵³, which states that the effect of 2% CHX is 79.8%, while that of proanthocyanidins is 87.6%, as assessed by the colorimetric system. CHX should be considered for future applications in systems that allow gradual release.⁴⁵

CHX is evaluated across years of publication, showing the growing interest of scientific research on this topic. For the years 2001–2007, most articles (16%) are of the *in vitro* type, a pattern that becomes even more pronounced at 44% during 2008–2014. Meanwhile, during 2015–2022, *in vivo* studies rise to 45%. However, studies with experimental *in vivo* designs are either missing or few in number. When analyzing how CHX works, 36% of the articles assess bond strength, 28% assess inhibition of the degradation of the hybrid layer, 24% assess inhibition of MMPs, and 12% assess the structure of the collagen network. According to *in vitro* studies, the degradation of the hybrid layer and inhibition of MMPs are more commonly evaluated, each using different instruments and methods.

According to data in Table 4, CHX has negative effects esti-

mated at 40% and positive effects at 60%, regardless of the mode of action. These effects are presented at the highest value of 28%, tied to bonding strength. This suggests that CHX is not the definitive key to completely or safely inhibiting the degradation of the hybrid layer. Studies show varying time frames for evaluating the effect of CHX. This variability demonstrates CHX's relevance but does not provide definitive data on the duration of its effects. Depending on the concentration of CHX applied. Table 7 shows that the effects of CHX are not related to its concentration, whether 0.2% or 2% (both estimated to have an effect of 16%). The types of bonding systems have attracted attention, with 12% analyzing their influence and 16% focusing on the effect on resin infiltration [Table 8]. This reflects a trend in the development of different bonding systems and related marketing activities in this field.

5 | CONCLUSION

In vivo studies analyzing the effect of CHX on the hybrid layer should be more in number, as they are actually lacking regardless of the annual periods under analysis. CHX increases the strength of the bonding as it affects the inhibition of MMPs preventing the degradation of the hybrid layer and creating collagen structures suitable for the penetration of the bonding resin. The best application of CHX is in the case of the bonding system with acidification and bonding in stages, in concentrations of 0.2 or 2%, but emphasizing that the increase in the % of CHX will not increase the value of its clinical effect. Regardless of the method of application or the mechanism of action of CHX, the negative effects are minimally more reduced than the positive effects of CHX. More data is required in this area. The duration of the positive effect in time periods is from 1 month to 10 years, given that this should be analyzed further. The application or not of CHX in the future will affect the production method of the bonding systems or the type of resins with an impact on increasing the penetration ability.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgments belong to our family. Henri and Hera are our motivation in the field of scientific research.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found at the journal's website.

References

1. Venigalla BS, Jyothi P, Kamishetty S, Reddy S, Cherukupalli RC, Reddy DA. Resin bond strength to water versus ethanol-saturated human dentin pretreated with three different cross-linking agents. *J Conserv Dent*. 2016;19(6):555-559. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-0707.194019>
2. Zecin-Deren A, Sokolowski J, Szczesio-Włodarczyk A, Piwonski I, Lukomska-Szymanska M, Lapinska B. Multi-Layer Application of Self-Etch and Universal Adhesives and the Effect on Dentin Bond Strength. *Molecules*. 2019;24(2):345. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24020345>
3. Robo I, Heta S, Gjumsji E, Ostreni V. Oral Microflora, in Cases with Gingival Hypertrophy Caused by Fixed Orthodontic Appliances. *SN Compr Clin Med*. 2021;3:1-9. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-474620/v1>
4. Frassetto A, Breschi L, Turco G, Marchesi G, Di Lenarda R, Tay FR, et al. Mechanisms of degradation of the hybrid layer in adhesive dentistry and therapeutic agents to improve bond durability—A literature review. *Dent Mater*. 2016;32(2):e41-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.11.007>
5. Li Y, Hu X, Xia Y, Ji Y, Ruan J, Weir MD, et al. Novel magnetic nanoparticle-containing adhesive with greater dentin bond strength and antibacterial and remineralizing capabilities. *Dent Mater*. 2018;34(9):1310-1322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2018.06.001>
6. Cecchin D, Farina AP, Vidal C, Bedran-Russo AK. A Novel Enamel and Dentin Etching Protocol Using alpha-hydroxy Glycolic Acid- Surface Property, Etching Pattern, and Bond Strength Studies. *Oper Dent*. 2018;43(1):101-110. <https://doi.org/10.2341/16-136-L>
7. Vasconcelos ECJ, Polido M, Brito J, Gonçalves LL. Dentin Bonding and SEM Analysis of a New Experimental Universal Adhesive System Containing a Dendrimer. *Polymers (Basel)*. 2020;12(2):461. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12020461>
8. Botelho MPJ, Isolani CP, Schwantz JK, Lopes MB, Moraes RR. Rubbing time and bonding performance of one-step adhesives to primary enamel and dentin. *J Appl Oral Sci*. 2017;25(5):523-532. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-7757-2016-0627>
9. Prati C, Nucci C, Toledano M, García-Godoy F, Breschi L, Chersoni S. Microleakage and marginal hybrid layer formation of compomer restorations. *Oper Dent*. 2004;29(1):35-41.
10. Perdigão J. Dentin bonding-variables related to the clinical situation and the substrate treatment. *Dent Mater*. 2010;26(2):e24-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2009.11.149>
11. Gibby SG, Wong Y, Kulild JC, Williams KB, Yao X, Walker MP. Novel methodology to evaluate the effect of residual moisture on epoxy resin sealer/dentine interface: a pilot study. *Int Endod J*. 2011;44(3):236-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2010.01806.x>
12. Prati C, Chersoni S, Acquaviva GL, Breschi L, Suppa P, Tay FR, et al. Permeability of marginal hybrid layers in composite restorations. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2005;9(1):1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-004-0273-4>
13. Onay EO, Orucoglu H, Kiremitci A, Korkmaz Y, Berk G. Effect of Er,Cr:YSGG laser irradiation on the apical sealing ability of AH Plus/gutta-percha and Hybrid Root Seal/Resilon combinations. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2010;110(5):657-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2010.05.062>

14. Hossain M, Yamada Y, Nakamura Y, Murakami Y, Tamaki Y, Matsumoto K. A study on surface roughness and microleakage test in cavities prepared by Er:YAG laser irradiation and etched bur cavities. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2003;18(1):25-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10103-002-0235-5>
15. Rontani RM, Ducatti CH, Garcia-Godoy F, De Goes MF. Effect of etching agent on dentinal adhesive interface in primary teeth. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2000;24(3):205-9.
16. Sauro S, Mannocci F, Toledano M, Osorio R, Pashley DH, Watson TF. EDTA or H3PO4/NaOCl dentine treatments may increase hybrid layers' resistance to degradation: a microtensile bond strength and confocal-micropermeability study. *J Dent.* 2009;37(4):279-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2008.12.002>
17. Wang JH, Yang K, Zhang BZ, Zhou ZF, Wang ZR, Ge X, et al. Effects of Er:YAG laser pre-treatment on dentin structure and bonding strength of primary teeth: an in vitro study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2020;20(1):316. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-01315-z>
18. Hirabayashi S, Yoshida E, Hayakawa T. SEM analysis of microstructure of adhesive interface between resin cement and dentin treated with self-etching primer. *Dent Mater J.* 2011;30(4):528-36. <https://doi.org/10.4012/dmj.2010-197>
19. Baseggio W, Consolmagno EC, de Carvalho FL, Ueda JK, Schmitt VL, Formighieri LA, et al. Effect of deproteinization and tubular occlusion on microtensile bond strength and marginal microleakage of resin composite restorations. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2009;17(5):462-6. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-77572009000500021>
20. Zhang Y, Wang Y. Distinct photopolymerization efficacy on dentin of self-etch adhesives. *J Dent Res.* 2012;91(8):795-9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034512452143>
21. Robo I, Heta S, Kapaj S, Llanaj M, Ostreni V. Adolescents, self-perception versus oral hygiene. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre.* 2023;47. [10.1186/s42269-023-01050-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-023-01050-9).
22. Heta S, Robo I, Ostreni V, Haxhiu E, Resuli J, Rumano B, Kapaj S. Aging and Dental Health (Middle Age Versus Geriatric Age). *Journal of Innovations in Medical Research.* 2022;1(4), 1-13. [10.56397/JIMR/2022.11.01](https://doi.org/10.56397/JIMR/2022.11.01).
23. Puspitasari D, Herda E, Soufyan A. Effect of 2% chlorhexidine gluconate on the degradation of resin composite-dentin bond strength when using self-etch adhesive systems. *International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics.* 2018;9:45. [10.22159/ijap.2017.v9s2.12](https://doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2017.v9s2.12).
24. Pashley D, Tay F, Breschi L, Tjäderhane L, Carvalho R, Carrilho M, Tezvergil A. State of the art etch-and-rinse adhesives. *Dental Materials: Official Publication of the Academy of Dental Materials.* 2011;27. 1-16. [10.1016/j.dental.2010.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2010.10.016).
25. Lopes F, Markarian R, Sendyk C, Duarte C, Arana V. Swine teeth as potential substitutes for in vitro studies in tooth adhesion: A SEM observation. *Archives of Oral Biology.* 2006;51. 548-51. [10.1016/j.archoralbio.2006.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2006.01.009).
26. Vallabhdas AK, Kumar CNV, Kabbinala P, Nayak R, Rajakumari M, Shilpa T. Evaluation of Hybrid Layer and Bonding Interface after Water Storage with and without the Usage of 2% Chlorhexidine: A Scanning Electron Microscope Study. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2018 Jan 1;19(1):52-59. [10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2211](https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2211).
27. Breschi L, Maravic T, Comba A, Cunha SR, Loguercio AD, Reis A, Hass V, Cadenaro M, Mancuso E, Mayer-Santos E, Niu L, Pashley DH, Tay FR, Mazzoni A. Chlorhexidine preserves the hybrid layer in vitro after 10-years aging. *Dental Materials.* 2020;36(5):672-680. [10.1016/j.dental.2020.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2020.03.009).
28. Tjäderhane L, Nascimento FD, Breschi L, Mazzoni A, Tersariol IL, Geraldini S, et al. Strategies to prevent hydrolytic degradation of the hybrid layer—A review. *Dental Materials.* 2013;29(10):999-1011. [10.1016/j.dental.2013.07.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2013.07.016).
29. Kiuru O, Sinervo J, Vähäniikkilä H, Anttonen V, Tjäderhane L. MMP Inhibitors and Dentin Bonding: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Dentistry.* 2021;2021:9949699. [10.1155/2021/9949699](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9949699).
30. Baldion PA, Betancourt DE. Dataset on the effect of flavonoids on the stabilization of the resin-dentin interface. *Data Brief.* 2021;35:106984. [10.1016/j.dib.2021.106984](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.106984).
31. Collares FM, Rodrigues SB, Leitune VC, Celeste RK, Borba de Araújo F, Samuel SM. Chlorhexidine application in adhesive procedures: a meta-regression analysis. *J Adhes Dent.* 2013;15(1):11-8.
32. Moon PC, Weaver J, Brooks CN. Review of matrix metalloproteinases' effect on the hybrid dentin bond layer stability and chlorhexidine clinical use to prevent bond failure. *Open Dent J.* 2010;4:147-52. [10.2174/1874210601004010147](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210601004010147).
33. Lingling J, Qianbing W. [Progress on matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors]. *Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi.* 2017;35(2):208-214.
34. Ou Q, Hu Y, Yao S, Wang Y, Lin X. Effect of matrix metalloproteinase 8 inhibitor on resin-dentin bonds. *Dent Mater.* 2018;34(5):756-763. [10.1016/j.dental.2018.01.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2018.01.027).
35. Hebling J, Pashley DH, Tjäderhane L, Tay FR. Chlorhexidine arrests subclinical degradation of dentin hybrid layers in vivo. *J Dent Res.* 2005;84(8):741-6. [10.1177/154405910508400811](https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910508400811).
36. Li H, Li T, Li X, Zhang Z, Li P, Li Z. Morphological effects of MMPs inhibitors on the dentin bonding. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2015;8(7):10793-803.
37. Carrilho MR, Geraldini S, Tay F, de Goes MF, Carvalho RM, Tjäderhane L, Reis AF, Hebling J, Mazzoni A, Breschi L, Pashley D. In vivo preservation of the hybrid layer by chlorhexidine. *J Dent Res.* 2007;86(6):529-33. [10.1177/154405910708600608](https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910708600608).
38. Zhang SC, Kern M. The role of host-derived dentinal matrix metalloproteinases in reducing dentin bonding of resin adhesives. *Int J Oral Sci.* 2009;1(4):163-76. [10.4248/IJOS.09044](https://doi.org/10.4248/IJOS.09044).
39. Carrilho MR, Carvalho RM, de Goes MF, di Hipólito V, Geraldini S, Tay FR, Pashley DH, Tjäderhane L. Chlorhexidine pre-

- serves dentin bond in vitro. *J Dent Res.* 2007;86(1):90-4. [10.1177/154405910708600115](https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910708600115).
40. Li F, Majd H, Weir MD, Arola DD, Xu HH. Inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase activity in human dentin via novel antibacterial monomer. *Dent Mater.* 2015;31(3):284-92. [10.1016/j.dental.2014.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2014.12.011).
 41. Epasinghe DJ, Yiu CK, Burrow MF, Hiraishi N, Tay FR. The inhibitory effect of proanthocyanidin on soluble and collagen-bound proteases. *J Dent.* 2013;41(9):832-9. [10.1016/j.jdent.2013.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2013.06.002).
 42. Sabatini C, Ortiz PA, Pashley DH. Preservation of resin-dentin interfaces treated with benzalkonium chloride adhesive blends. *Eur J Oral Sci.* 2015;123(2):108-15. [10.1111/eos.12168](https://doi.org/10.1111/eos.12168).
 43. Brackett MG, Li N, Brackett WW, Sword RJ, Qi YP, Niu LN, et al. The critical barrier to progress in dentine bonding with the etch-and-rinse technique. *J Dent.* 2011;39(3):238-48. [10.1016/j.jdent.2010.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2010.12.009).
 44. Breschi L, Martin P, Mazzoni A, Nato F, Carrilho M, Tjäderhane L, et al. Use of a specific MMP-inhibitor (galardin) for preservation of hybrid layer. *Dent Mater.* 2010;26(6):571-8. [10.1016/j.dental.2010.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2010.02.007).
 45. de Menezes LR, da Silva EO, Maurat da Rocha LV, Ferreira Barbosa I, Rodrigues Tavares M. The use of clays for chlorhexidine controlled release as a new perspective for longer durability of dentin adhesion. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* 2019;30(12):132. [10.1007/s10856-019-6344-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-019-6344-5).

How to cite this article: Robo I, Heta S, Balla X, Alliu N, Ostreni V. Chlorhexidine and the degradation of the hybrid layer – narrative review. *Int J Orofac Res.* 2024;8(1):23-30. <https://doi.org/10.56501/intjorofacres.v8i1.1043>